1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	ILLINOIS BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY ) DOCKET NO.
4	(Ameritech Illinois) and ) 02-0782 CHOCTAW COMMUNICATIONS, L.C. ) d/b/a SMOKE SIGNAL COMMUNICATIONS )
5	)
6	Joint Petition for Approval of ) Negotiated Interconnection )
7	Agreement dated September 17, ) 2002, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. ) Section 252.
8	Chrinafiold Illinoia
9	Springfield, Illinois February 4, 2003
10	Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:00 A.M.
11	BEFORE:
12	MR. JOHN ALBERS, Administrative Law Judge
13	APPEARANCES:
14	MR. JAMES HUTTENHOWER
15	225 West Randolph HQ 25D
16	Chicago, Illinois 60606
17	(Appearing on behalf of Ameritech Illinois via teleconference)
18	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
19	Cheryl A. Davis, Reporter, #084-001662
20	
21	
22	

1	APPEARANCES:	(Cont'd)
2	MR. LEON NOWALSKY 3500 North Causway B	Boulevard
3	Suite 1442	
4	Metairie, Louisiana	
5	Communications	ehalf of Choctaw s, L.C., d/b/a Smoke
6	Signal Communi teleconference	
7	MR. JAMES WEGING	
8	160 North La Salle S Suite C-800	Street
9	Chicago, Illinois (	50601
10		ehalf of the Staff of the erce Commission via
11	teleconference	<del>-</del> )
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

1		I	N D E 2	X	
2	WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	(None)				
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
LO					
11					
12	<u>EXHIBITS</u>			MARKED	ADMITTED
L 3	(None)				
L 4					
L 5					
L 6					
L 7					
L 8					
L 9					
20					
21					
22					

PROCEEDINGS

2	JUDGE ALBERS: By the authority vested in me by
3	the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call Docket
4	Numbers 02-0782 and 02-0789. Docket 02-0782
5	concerns a joint petition filed by Illinois Bell
6	Telephone Company and Choctaw Communications, L.C.,
7	doing business as Smoke Signal Communications, and
8	Docket Number 02-0789 concerns a joint petition
9	filed by Illinois Bell Telephone Company and
10	Midwestern Telecommunications, Inc in both
11	dockets the Joint Petitioners seek approval of
12	interconnection agreements. These dockets are not
13	consolidated and merely are being called together
14	for purposes of judicial efficiency this morning.
15	May I have the appearances for the record, and
16	please indicate which dockets you are entering your
17	appearance in.
18	MR. HUTTENHOWER: James Huttenhower,
19	H-U-T-T-E-N-H-O-W-E-R, appearing on behalf of
20	Illinois Bell Telephone, 225 West Randolph Street,
21	Suite 25D, Chicago, 60606. I'm appearing in both
22	02-0782 and 02-0789.

- 1 MR. WEGING: This is James Weging, W-E-G-I-N-G,
- 2 appearing for Commission Staff, 160 North La Salle
- 3 Street, Suite C-800, Chicago, Illinois 60601,
- 4 (312) 793-2877, and I'm appearing in both dockets,
- 02-0782 and 02-0789.
- 6 MR. NOWALSKY: This is Leon Nowalsky. I'm an
- 7 attorney for Smoke Signal Communications. My
- 8 address is 3500 North Causway Boulevard, Suite 1442,
- 9 that's in Metairie, Louisiana 70002, and I am
- appearing in Docket 02-0782.
- 11 MR. HOLT: This is Jerry Holt. I'm CEO of
- 12 Midwestern Telecommunications, 4749 Lincoln Mall
- Drive, Suite 600, Matteson, Illinois 60443. My
- e-mail address is jerry.holt@midwestern.Net, and I
- do not mind being served by e-mail. I'm appearing
- on behalf of Midwestern in Docket 02-0789.
- 17 MR. NOWALSKY: This is Leon Nowalsky. I'm
- 18 going to interject here. My e-mail address is
- 19 lnowalsky@nbglaw.com, and I also do not mind being
- served through e-mail.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Mr. Nowalsky, could you please
- spell through your e-mail address?

- 1 MR. NOWALSKY: Yes. It's lnowalsky@nbglaw.com.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Was that n as in Nancy?
- MR. NOWALSKY: N as in Nancy, b as in boy, g as
- 4 in girl.
- 5 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Thank you.
- 6 Let the record reflect that there are no others
- 7 wishing to enter an appearance.
- 8 The last time we met Mr. Huttenhower was
- 9 awaiting or at least was going to be sending off and
- 10 be waiting for the return from Choctaw and
- 11 Midwestern signed petitions and amendment documents.
- Mr. Huttenhower, can you please update the record on
- where things stand with that?
- MR. HUTTENHOWER: As of this morning we had not
- 15 received anything back yet from Midwestern, and we
- had received from Choctaw a signed amendment but not
- the accompanying petition.
- 18 JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you. And then,
- Mr. Nowalsky and Mr. Holt, I understand then from
- what you said prior to going on the record that you
- intend to FedEx the necessary signed documents by
- overnight mail today. Is that correct?

- 1 MR. NOWALSKY: Yes, it is.
- 2 MR. HOLT: Yes, it is.
- 3 JUDGE ALBERS: And so Mr. Huttenhower should
- 4 receive those tomorrow?
- 5 MR. HUTTENHOWER: Actually they should send
- 6 them to our contract management group which is
- 7 probably -- whose address is probably on the
- 8 paperwork they got.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.
- MR. HOLT: Is that the Dallas address?
- MR. HUTTENHOWER: Yes.
- 12 JUDGE ALBERS: And so either way though,
- Mr. Huttenhower, when did you expect to hopefully be
- able to file something before the Commission?
- MR. HUTTENHOWER: I would hope then within two
- days or so of receiving -- of the folks in Dallas
- 17 receiving the material from the two companies.
- 18 JUDGE ALBERS: So potentially Monday?
- MR. HUTTENHOWER: Yes, by Monday.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. We also talked about
- continuing these matters to February 11th at 10:00
- 22 a.m., and I believe everyone indicated they were all

- right with that date and time. Hopefully we'll have
  the necessary documents on file with the Commission
  and we will be able to conclude these matters.
- I'll just note again for the record that the

  deadline for Choctaw is February 23rd and the

  deadline for Midwestern is February 24th, and the

  last Commission meeting before those dates is

  February 20th, so it is very important that we have

  everything wrapped up by next week so I can get

  these to the Commission.
- Does anyone have any other questions or concerns?
- MR. WEGING: This is Jim Weging. Mr. Law

  Judge, I think the record should reflect that the

  parties have agreed to notice through the e-Docket

  system on these cases. The two individual

  petitioners indicated, but I think Mr. Huttenhower

  and I are both in agreement too that we would get

  e-Docket notices; e-mail notices rather.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.
- 21 MR. HUTTENHOWER: That's correct.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you.

Τ	And I also note then that when the new dockets
2	are filed, and I believe everyone agrees with this,
3	that I'll set them for the same date and time as
4	these two dockets are being continued.
5	MR. WEGING: This is Jim Weging. Yes.
6	MR. HUTTENHOWER: Jim Huttenhower; it's fine
7	with me.
8	JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Any other questions or
9	comments? Hearing none, then both of these matters
_ 0	are continued to February 11th at 10:00 a.m.
.1	(Whereupon the case was continued to
_2	February 11, 2003, at 10:00 a.m. in
. 3	Springfield, Illinois.)
4	
. 5	
_ 6	
_ 7	
. 8	
_ 9	
20	
21	
2	